

## Introduction

This work focused on the miniaturization and design optimization of micro inductors.

- investigated in our previous works <sup>[1] [2]</sup>.
- carried out.
- Optimization for micro-inductor was done using genetic algorithm
- **Optimization criteria:** 
  - Inductance > 100 nH at 6MHz and  $I_{DC} = 0.6A$
  - Minimum losses including core losses and winding losses
  - Footprint < 7 mm<sup>2</sup>

Input data: dimension, material properties

10/28/2014

# Modeling and design optimization of micro-inductor using genetic algorithm

Yen Mai nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Pierre Lefranc<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Pierre Laur<sup>1</sup>, Magali Brunet<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>CNRS, LAAS, 7 avenue colonel Roche, Toulouse, France <sup>2</sup>CNRS, G2Elab, F-38000 Grenoble, France

Experimental approaches including monolithic and hybrid integration to realize ferrite-based integrated inductors have been

Soft ferrite based micro-inductors with high performance in terms of inductance density and low losses have been proposed <sup>[1][2]</sup>. In this work, 2D/3D finite element simulation in FEMM and Maxwell to model the electromagnetic behaviors of micro inductors was

Yen Mai Ng et al 2013 Low-profile small-size ferrite cores for powerSiP integrated inductors *Power Electronics and Applications (EPE), 15th European Conference (IEEE, Lille)* Yen Mai Ng et al 2013 Soft ferrite cores characterization for integrated micro-inductors 13th [2] International Conference on Micro- and Nano-Technology for Power Generation and Energy Conversion Applications (PowerMEMS)Imperial Coll London, England, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 476 012139

Electromagnetic simulation : modelling L, core losses, winding losses Genetic algorithm: varying dimensions and select best combination

PwrSoC 2014, Boston



Output: optimized geometry

## Material characterization with first run micro-inductor

material, feed in the raw B-H curve



10/28/2014

PwrSoC 2014, Boston



Impedance measurement and extract losses

Formula core losses Steinmetz

$$P_V = \frac{R_S *}{A_e *}$$

$$P_{core} = K f^{\alpha} I$$

### > Fitting result







$H_{DC}(A/m)$	K	α	
0	2.457E-08	2.071	
244	0.241	1.014	1
488	258.935	0.64	
732	5.018E4	0.372	1
1212	9.017E6	0.149	1
1708	7.31E7	0.081	

These analytical loss models and the extrapolated non-linear B-H curves will be used in FEMM model for optimization.



## **Electromagnetic Modeling of inductor**

- Input: Dimension, material data (magnetic B-H curve, losses, copper properties), DC, AC current and frequency excitation.
- FEMM calculates B and H of all the meshed points. All other parameters like: winding losses, magnetic energy, magnetic flux in the core are known.
- Magneto-static simulation (F=0Hz) to calculate inductance in function of DC current: by energetic method or by flux method:

$$L_{flux} = \frac{\varphi_{core}}{I_{DC}} \qquad \qquad L_{energy} = \frac{\varphi_{core}}{I_{DC}}$$

Magneto-harmonic simulation (F=6MHz) to evaluate AC resistance of winding and core losses:

$$R_{AC} = \frac{P_{winding}}{I_{AC\_RMS}^2}$$

Core losses calculation: as B is known at all the meshed points of the magnetic core. Core losses are deduced:



 $P_{core} = K \cdot f^{\alpha} \cdot \int_{Core}^{\beta} dV$ 



H=110 μm W<sub>mag</sub>



2	5(	)F.	-0	6
۷.	5		-0	U

2.00E-06

1.50E-06

1.00E-06

5.00E-07

0.00E+00

PwrSoC 2014, Boston









>4.371e-002
4.153e-002
3.934e-002
3.715e-002
3.497e-002
3.278e-002
3.060e-002
2.841e-002
2.623e-002
2.404e-002
2.186e-002
1.967e-002
1.748e-002
1.530e-002
1.311e-002
1.093e-002
3.742e-003
6.557e-003
4.371e-003
: 2.186e-003
- 212000 000
esia

3

# **Optimization by genetic algorithm**

Based on natural genetics, technical algorithms is inspired by evolutionary



### 10/28/2014



### > Optimization result for micro inductor (2<sup>nd</sup> run versus 1<sup>st</sup> run)

Parameter	First run (not optimized)	Second run (optimized)
Number of turns N	21	27
Length Y [mm]	2.6	3.6
Width X [mm]	1.14	1.7
Depth H [mm]	0.11	0.11
Footprint [mm <sup>2</sup> ]	3	6
Magnetic core width Wmag [mm)	0.43	0.65
Conductor thickness t <sub>c</sub> [µm]	50	55
Conductor width [µm]	100	105

Parameter	First run (not optimized)	Second run (optimized)	
Inductance at 0.6A DC [nH] (6MHz, 20mAAC)	38	107	
DC resistance [mΩ]	<b>93</b> *	140*	
AC resistance at 6MHz [mΩ]	128*	180*	
Core losses [mW]	0.012* (37 mW/cm <sup>3</sup> ) 0.033 (101 mW/cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.033* (49 mW/cm <sup>3</sup> ) 0.067 (99 mW/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
Energy density [nJ/mm3]	21.0*	28.6*	
Quality factor $(2\pi f L/R_{AC})$	11*	22*	

(\* According to the calculation)



PwrSoC 2014, Boston





## **Fabrication of micro inductor:**



**Fig 3**: Top view of fabricated microinductor

## Conclusions

- Electromagnetic behaviors have been simulated by finite elements method.
- > A micro-inductor was optimized by genetic algorithm and finite element simulation.
- The 2<sup>nd</sup> run inductor with optimization has proved better performance than the first run micro inductor with higher energy density by factor of 1.4 and higher quality factor by factor of 2.



Fig 4: Cross section of electroplated top copper trackscopper vias – bottom copper tracks

## Perspectives

- Problem to be solved: Finish the winding by electroplated copper to realize final micro-inductor and measure the DC and AC resistances.
- Measure losses and obtain the analytical model in the condition close to the real operation mode i.e. triangular signal Computation speed to be improved by simplifying the inductor model in FEMM by modeling half or quarter of symmetrical
- geometry.

PwrSoC 2014, Boston





**Fig 5**: Cross section of electroplated top copper tracksferrite core – bottom copper tracks (to be added before submission)



